

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patest and Traderagic Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS OF 50, 1809 AM. Pages 2211-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/942,879	08/31/2001	Takahiro Nishiyama	P67087US0	9482
134	7590 01/23/2004		EXAM	INER
JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC 400 SEVENTH STREET N.W.			RHEE,	JANE J
SUITE 600	IN SINCEL IN.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHING	ON. DC 20004		1772	

DATE MAILED 01/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/942,879	NISHIYAMA, TAKAHIRO
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jane J Rhee	1772
The MAILING DATE of this communication	ation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 18 December 2003 FAILS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required in rejection under 37 CFR 1,113 may only be condition for allowance; (2) a timely fled Notice Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR	ired to avoid abandonment of this either: (1) a timely filed amendme of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3)	s application. A proper reply to a
PERIOD	FOR REPLY [check either a) or	b)]
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from	n the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) Signature of the properties on: (1) the mailing or no event, however, will be statisticely people on the country of the statisticely people of the country of the country of the statisticely people of the country o	sply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the EPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH 36(a). The date on which the petition of the period of extension and the correspon ion date of the shortened statutory period by the Office later than these months offi-	the mailting date of the final rejection. HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP for 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension for each content and the field.
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on A	ppellant's Brief must be filed within	n the period set forth in
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereo	f (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid disn	nissal of the appeal.
The proposed amendment(s) will not be e	ntered because:	
(a) they raise new issues that would requ	ire further consideration and/or se	earch (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (se		
 (c) they are not deemed to place the apprint issues for appeal; and/or 	lication in better form for appeal b	by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without	it canceling a corresponding num!	ber of finally rejected claims.
NOTE:		
 Applicant's reply has overcome the follow 		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	_ would be allowable if submitted	I in a separate, timely filed amendment
 The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) re- application in condition for allowance bec 	quest for reconsideration has been ause:	n considered but does NOT place the
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be consideralised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ered because it is not directed SO on.	LELY to issues which were newly
 For purposes of Appeal, the proposed ame explanation of how the new or amended of 	endment(s) a) will not be entere claims would be rejected is provide	ed or b) will be entered and an ed below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as	follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: 1-16 and 18-20.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on _		disapproved by the Examiner
Note the attached Information Disclosure 8	Statement/s)/ PTO-1449) Poner N	io(s)

Application/Control Number: 09/942,879 Art Unit: 1772

ADVISORY ACTION

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed 12/18/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USP02d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USP02d 1991 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Spurgat discloses a fuel hose with an inner layer of rubber and an outer layer of barrier material (col. 3 lines 64-col. 4 lines 1-9) and Chodha et al. teaches EPDM rubber composition for fabricating hoses (col. 1 line 30) for the purpose of yielding improved mechanical properties (col. 2 lines 61-63). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to provide Spurgat lines 61-63).

In response to applicant's argument that Chodha cannot suggest the exclusion of metal oxide, Chodha et al. teaches in col. 3 lines 7-16, a tack neutral additive which means an additive that has no inhibiting effect upon the surface tack of crosslinked EPDM rubber products produced by vulcanizing the composition of this invention in the

presence of oxygen, such additives include reinforcing fillers such as carbon black, talc, clay calcium carbonate and silica, process oils, processing aids useful in improving the dispersibility of the fillers during mastication, activators such as metal oxides, accelerators, pigments, foaming agents, forming aids, dessicants, and the like, the types and amounts of these tack neutral additives and the peroxide used as crosslinking agent can be selected and determined in accordance with the specific properties desired in the final EPDM rubber product. Chodha et al. teaches activators such as metal oxides, accelerators, pigments, foaming agents, forming aids, dessicants and the like therefore since Chodha et al. lists a variety of activators, metal oxides is only one of many to choose from, hence even though Chodha et al. does not specifically state that there is an exclusion of metal oxide, Chodha et al. does not specifically state an inclusion of metal oxide. Also, Chodha et al. teaches that the types and amounts of these tack neutral additives and the peroxide used as crosslinking agent can be selected and determined in accordance with the specific properties desired in the final EPDM rubber product.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jane J Rhee whose telephone number is 571-272-1499. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nasser Ahmad can be reached on 571-272-1487. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Janeshel

Jane Rhee January 12, 2004 NASSER AHMAD PRIMARY EXAMINER